A HOLISTIC ANALYSIS OF THE JACKET-AND-DENIM COOLING

A PREPRINT

A Joyful Individual
github.com/NewJerseyStyle

August 13, 2025

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a holistic theoretical thermal analysis of a signature ensemble, a leather jacket paired with denim jeans, frequently worn by a high-profile technology executive. We treat this entire attire as a complete passive cooling solution for a wearable system. The objective is to quantify the ensemble's total heat dissipation capacity. We model the human operator as an "android" with a Thermal Design Power (TDP) of 100 W operating in a 26 °C ambient environment. A full-body parallel thermal resistance network is developed. This model bifurcates the system into an upper body (jacket system, 60% of surface area) and a lower body (pants system, 40% of surface area). The upper body is further analyzed using a three-zone model to account for varying fit and the "chimney effect." Our revised model indicates a total heat dissipation of 81.2 W. This result suggests that the ensemble's passive cooling capability is closely matched with, but slightly below, the 100 W load, indicating that the system operates near its thermal limit.

Keywords Wearable Technology, Thermal Resistance, Natural Convection, Heat Transfer, Clothing Microclimate, Full-Body Model

1 Introduction

In the world of high-performance computing, thermal management is a non-negotiable design constraint. While engineers focus on conventional solutions, a fascinating, real-world case study in thermal management is often observed in the public appearances of the CEO of a leading GPU company: the iconic leather jacket and jeans ensemble.

This investigation playfully reframes this complete outfit as an integrated passive thermal dissipation system. The engineering question remains: Is the full ensemble a sufficient heatsink or does the system risk thermal throttling?

This paper answers this question by expanding our previous model. We now employ a full-body framework that evaluates heat transfer from both the upper and lower body in parallel, providing a more accurate estimation of the total system performance.

2 Holistic Thermal Modeling Framework

To analyze the system, we model the entire attire as two major parallel thermal networks corresponding to the upper and lower body. The total body surface area ($A_{total} = 1.8$ m²) is partitioned accordingly.

- **Upper Body (Jacket System):** Comprising the torso and arms, this section accounts for 60% of the total surface area ($A_{upper} = 1.08$ m²).
- **Lower Body (Pants System):** Comprising the legs and feet, this section accounts for 40% of the total surface area ($A_{lower} = 0.72$ m²).

The total heat dissipation is the sum of the heat transferred through these two main parts: $Q_{total} = Q_{upper} + Q_{lower}$.

2.1 Upper Body: Three-Zone Model

The jacket system is analyzed using our original three-zone parallel model, where area fractions (f_i) are relative to A_{upper}:

- **Zone 1: Open-Front ($f_{open} = 0.35$):** Low-resistance path dominated by the chimney effect.
- **Zone 2: Loose-Fit ($f_{loose} = 0.50$):** Multi-layer path including a trapped air gap.
- **Zone 3: Tight-Fit ($f_{tight} = 0.15$):** High-resistance path with no air gap.

The equivalent resistance of the upper body, R_{upper}, is found by:

$$\frac{1}{R_{upper}} = \frac{f_{open}}{R_{open}} + \frac{f_{loose}}{R_{loose}} + \frac{f_{tight}}{R_{tight}} \tag{1}$$

2.2 Lower Body: Single-Zone Model

The pants system is simplified as a single, uniform zone. The heat transfer path consists of the denim fabric, a small air gap, and external convection. Its total series resistance is:

$$R_{lower} = R_{denim} + R_{air,lower} + R_{conv,lower} \tag{2}$$

3 Parameter Estimation

Model parameters are based on literature values and are consistent with our previous work, with the addition of parameters for the denim layer, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Key Model Parameters

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
Environmental & Physiological			
Skin Temperature	T_{skin}	33.5	°C
Ambient Temperature	$T_{ambient}$	26.0	°C
Total Area	A_{total}	1.8	m²
Upper Body Area Fraction	$A_{frac,u}$	0.60	–
Lower Body Area Fraction	$A_{frac,l}$	0.40	–
Material Properties			
Calfskin Leather	$k_{leather}$	0.15	W/m·K
Denim Fabric	k_{denim}	0.06	W/m·K
Cotton T-shirt	k_{cotton}	0.05	W/m·K
Air (trapped)	k_{air}	0.026	W/m·K
Layer Thickness			
Leather thickness	$d_{leather}$	1.2	mm
Denim thickness	d_{denim}	0.8	mm
Cotton thickness	d_{cotton}	0.5	mm
Air gap (loose fit)	$d_{air,loose}$	5.0	mm
Air gap (lower body)	$d_{air,lower}$	3.0	mm
Convection Coefficients			
Open-front convection	h_{open}	15.0	W/m²·K
General exterior convection	h_{ext}	8.0	W/m²·K
Lower body convection	h_{lower}	5.0	W/m²·K

4 Results and Analysis

By implementing the full-body model, we calculated the heat dissipation for each body section and the total system performance. The results are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Calculated Full-Body Thermal Performance

Performance Metric	**Value**
Upper Body Performance	
$R_{upper,equiv}$ (m²·K/W)	0.119
Q_{upper} (W)	68.2
Lower Body Performance	
$R_{lower,total}$ (m²·K/W)	0.417
Q_{lower} (W)	13.0
Total System Performance	
Total Heat Dissipation, Q_{total} (W)	**81.2**

The holistic model predicts a total heat dissipation capacity of **81.2 W**. This value is notably lower than our initial jacket-only estimate and, more importantly, it falls short of the android's specified Thermal Design Power (TDP) of 100 W.

The inclusion of the lower body, which has a significantly higher thermal resistance ($R_{lower} = 0.417$ m²·K/W) compared to the effective resistance of the upper body ($R_{upper} = 0.119$ m²·K/W), acts as a thermal bottleneck. While the upper body efficiently dissipates 68.2 W, the lower body only contributes a modest 13.0 W to the total cooling.

5 Discussion

The revised result of 81.2 W presents a much more nuanced picture. The system operates with a thermal deficit of 18.8 W relative to its 100 W TDP. This implies that under sustained operation in the specified conditions, the android would slowly accumulate heat, eventually leading to a "thermal throttling" event to prevent overheating.

This finding highlights the importance of a systems-level approach. While the jacket itself is an excellent partial heatsink, the performance of the entire ensemble is constrained by its least efficient component—in this case, the relatively insulating lower-body attire.

From a design perspective, this suggests a potential performance bottleneck. To reach the 100 W dissipation target without active cooling (i.e., fans), modifications would be required. Potential passive enhancements could include selecting a more breathable fabric for the lower body or designing ventilation openings into the pants—a concept perhaps best left to avant-garde fashion designers.

6 Conclusion

By expanding our analysis to a holistic, full-body model, we have gained a more accurate understanding of the thermal performance of the iconic leather jacket and jeans ensemble. Our model indicates a total passive heat dissipation capacity of 81.2 W, which is insufficient to manage a 100 W thermal load. The system, therefore, operates at a thermal deficit.

We conclude that while the signature style is undeniably iconic, from a strict thermal engineering standpoint, it operates on the edge of performance. For sustained, high-power operation, our android would indeed benefit from an auxiliary "chassis fan," or perhaps, a more thermally conductive pair of pants.

References

[1] G. N. Havenith, "Interaction of clothing and environment," *Ergonomics*, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 1371–1385, 1999.

[2] M. M. Mangat and L. Hes, "Thermal Resistance of Denim Fabric under Dynamic Moist Conditions and Its Investigational Confirmation," *Fibres & Textiles in Eastern Europe*, no. 6 (108), pp. 101–5, 2014.

[3] A. Angelotti et al., "Thermal conductivity assessment of cotton fibers from apparel recycling for building insulation," *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 324, p. 114866, 2024.

[4] W. Bierman, "The Temperature of the Skin Surface," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 106, no. 14, p. 1158, 1936.

[5] J. P. Holman, *Heat Transfer*, 10th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2010.

[6] Y. Song et al., ”Thermal properties of textiles and their measurement methods,” *Textile Research Journal*, vol. 81, no. 15, pp. 1560–1572, 2011.

[7] R. T. Oğulata, ”The effect of thermal properties of clothing on human thermal comfort,” *Fibres & Textiles in Eastern Europe*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 67–72, 2007.

[8] P. Gibson, ”Factors influencing steady-state heat and water vapor transfer measurements for clothing materials,” *Textile Research Journal*, vol. 63, no. 12, pp. 749–764, 1993.